



## Structural Safety Inspection Report


Factory Name	Day Apparels Ltd
Factory ID	12483
Factory Address	M H Tower, 21, Vogra, Gazipur – 1704 , Gazipur
Date of Initial Inspection	08-Dec-2016
Date of Review Inspection	29-May-2022
Inspected by	Maruf Zindance



Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
1	Apparently high punching stress in flat slab.	Building engineer to conduct a engineering assessment to check the adequacy of the flat slab and foundation of the building.	within 6- weeks	Reviewed DEA submitted to ACCORD on 08th May'18 and waiting for approval.	05-Mar-2017	<p>On 14/12/2017: EA report of the factory has been prepared and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review.</p> <p>On 04/01/2018: Building engineer has been conducted engineering assessment by checking the adequacy of the flat slab and foundations which is submitted to ACCORD. Submitted EA report is under review.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: This issue is required to covered in EA. Factory has conducted EA and submitted to ACCORD which is under ACCORD review.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: This issue has been covered in DEA and DEA has been accepted on 14th Aug 2018. As per accepted DEA Flat slab is adequate against punching shear.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 4th follow up inspection. DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. This issue had been covered in DEA report. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018. As per DEA recommendation factory had completed all retrofitting works.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
2	Apparently high punching stress in flat slab.	Verify in-situ concrete stresses by 100mm dia. Cores from 4 nos areas of flat slab-not located within the column/slab punching zone.	within 6- weeks	Reviewed DEA submitted to ACCORD on 05/11/17	05-Mar-2017	<p>On 14/12/2017: Present consultant (DDS) of the factory has taken 8 cores from columns at ground and 3rd floor and 4 cores from 3rd floor slab, original test reports of which were found on site and location matched with the provided core cutting lay out. Former consultant collected 4 cores from ground floor and 4 cores from slab. Original reports and core lay out of those cores were not found on site. Moreover, 6 cores were found taken from slabs and the location of cores were not according to floor mentioned in the test report. Factory is required to provide clarification regarding this issue and keep the original reports on site during next inspection.</p> <p>On 04-01-18: A total number of 20 cores were taken where as 12 cores from columns and 8 cores from slab which are incorporated in the EA report. Original test reports were found on site . However, Factory is required to keep the original reports on site for further queries.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Concrete cores have been taken from the building. During inspection original core test reports were available on site. Moreover, this issue was found corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection original core test reports were available.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 3rd follow up inspection. Cores were collected and test reports were available on site.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018. Core test had been done.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Core test had been done.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
3	Apparently high punching stress in flat slab.	Produce and actively manage a loading plan for all floor plates within the Building, giving consideration to floor capacity and column capacity.	within 6- months	Corrected	21-Jul-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Load plan has been prepared as part of EA report and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review. However, load was found below 42 psf.</p> <p>ON 04/01/2018: A allowable load plan was prepared by factory which is submitted to Accord. During inspection loads was measured below 42 psf.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Factory has prepared floor loading plan as a part of EA and submitted to Accord which in under Accord review. Moreover, during inspection floor loading was found within 42 psf. Final load plan will be followed after getting acceptance of EA.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Load plan has been produced and accepted as a part of DEA. During inspection accepted load plan was found posted at every floor and load was found below allowable limit. Factory is required to follow final load plan after completion of retrofitting work.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: Load plan has been prepared as part of DEA which was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018. As per recommendation of accepted DEA factory required column strengthening. Retrofitting works were found on going during inspection. Factory is required to follow final load plan after completion of retrofitting work. However, load was found below 2 kPa on all floor plates during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Load plan has been produced and accepted as a part of DEA. During inspection load plan was found posted at every floor and load was found below 42 psf. Final load plan is required to be maintained after completing the retrofitting works.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Load plan had been prepared as a part of DEA. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018. As per DEA recommendation factory had completed all retrofitting works. During inspection load plans were found posted to each floor and overall loading was found below the allowable accepted load limit (63 psf).</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected from previous inspection. During the inspection, load plans were found posted on each floor and floor loading was found below the allowable load limit of the accepted load plan (63 psf).</p>	Corrected	
4	Apparently high punching stress in flat slab.	Continue to impliment the load management plan.	within 6- months	Corrected	21-Jul-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Load plan has been prepared as part of EA report and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review. However, load was found below 42 psf. Factory is required to post accepted load plan on each floor after receiving acceptance from ACCORD.</p> <p>ON 04/01/2018: A allowable load plan was prepared by factory which is submitted to Accord. During inspection loads was found below 42 psf. After getting the acceptance of the report from ACCORD, factory is required to follow the accepted load plan.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Factory has prepared floor loading plan as a part of EA and submitted to Accord which in under Accord review. Factory will follow final load plan after acceptance of EA by ACCORD. Moreover, during inspection floor loading was found within 42 psf. Final load plan will be followed after getting acceptance of EA.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Load plan has been produced and accepted as a part of DEA. During inspection accepted load plan was found posted at every floor and load was found below allowable limit. Factory is required to follow final load plan after completion of retrofitting work.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: Load plan has been prepared as part of DEA which was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018. As per recommendation of accepted DEA factory required column strengthening. Retrofitting works were found on going during inspection. Factory is required to follow final load plan after completion of retrofitting work. However, load was found below 2 kPa on all floor plates during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: During inspection load plan was found posted at every floor and load was found below 42 psf. Final load plan is required to be maintained after completing the retrofitting works.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Load plan had been prepared as a part of DEA. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018. As per DEA recommendation factory had completed all retrofitting works. During inspection load plans were found posted to each floor and overall loading was found below the allowable accepted load limit (63 psf).</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected from previous inspection. During the inspection, load plans were found posted on each floor and floor loading was found below the allowable load limit of the accepted load plan (63 psf).</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
5	Apparently Lack of Lateral Stability	Building Engineer to review the lateral stability of the building for the BNBC recommended lateral load as part of engineering assessment.	within 6-weeks	Reviewed DEA submitted to ACCORD on 8th May-18 and waiting for approval.	05-Mar-2017	<p>On 14/12/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA report. EA report of the factory has been prepared and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review.</p> <p>ON 04/01/2018: Building engineer conducted engineering assessment by checking the lateral stability of the building which is submitted to ACCORD. Submitted EA report is under review.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: This issue is required to be covered in EA. Factory has conducted EA and submitted to ACCORD which is under ACCORD review.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: This issue has been covered in DEA and DEA has been accepted on 14th Aug 2018.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 4th follow up inspection. DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. This issue had been covered in DEA report. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018. As per DEA recommendation factory had completed all retrofitting works. QC certificate, rebar and cylinder test reports were found onsite.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
6	Apparently Lack of Lateral Stability	Carry out remedial works resulting from engineering assessment if necessary.	within 6-month	Corrected	21-Jul-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA report. EA report of the factory has been prepared and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review. Factory is required to carry out remedial measures as per accepted retrofitting drawing after receiving acceptance from ACCORD (if necessary).</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: This issue will be covered in EA report. EA report of the factory has been prepared and submitted to ACCORD which is under review. Factory is required to follow recommendations resulting from EA report after receiving acceptance from ACCORD.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Factory will carry out remedial works ( if any requires) as per accepted EA.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: As per accepted DEA some retrofitting works were required to be completed within 15th Nov 2018 but during inspection it was observed that retrofitting work was on going and only 15 % retrofitting works have been completed. Factory is required to complete all the retrofitting works within required timescale.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018. As per recommendation of accepted DEA factory required column strengthening. Retrofitting works were found on going during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: As per accepted DEA some retrofitting works were required to be completed within 15th Nov 2018 but during inspection it was observed that retrofitting work was on going and approximately 70% retrofitting works have been completed. Factory is required to complete all the retrofitting works.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Factory had carried out DEA report, which was accepted from ACCORD on 14th Aug 2018. As per accepted DEA some retrofitting works were required to be completed within 15th Nov 2018 but during inspection it was observed that retrofitting work was on going. During inspection, 13 out of 13 nos RC column and 7 out of 8 Nos shear wall retrofitting found completed. But 10 nos beam retrofitting hasn't started yet. Factory is required to complete all the retrofitting works. Also during retrofitting safety props were found installed.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018. As per DEA recommendation factory had completed all retrofitting works. QC certificate, rebar and cylinder test reports were found onsite.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection. All retrofitting work had been completed as per accepted DEA.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
7	Discrepancy found between as built condition and provided drawing	Building engineer to survey the whole building and produce as-built documentation reflecting the as constructed condition.	within 6-weeks	Done	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: As-built drawings have been prepared as part of EA report and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Factory has been prepared as built drawing by reflecting the as constructed condition which is submitted to Accord. EA report is under review. During inspection, as built drawing were verified on site and no discrepancies were found.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: The as built drawings re-verified in 2nd follow up inspection and this issue was found corrected.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. As-built drawing has been verified and no discrepancy was found.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 4th follow up inspection. As built drawings were prepared as part of DEA which was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018. No further discrepancy was found during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. As built drawings were prepared as part of DEA which was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. As built drawings were prepared as a part of DEA. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
8	Cracks on Slab & Stair	Sections of plaster finish to be removed to investigate if cracks penetrate the building structure.	within 6-weeks	Done	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Cracks on slab &amp; stair have been investigated and declared to be non-structural. Investigation report recommending the remedial measure has been incorporated in the EA report.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been investigated the cracks on slab and stair. As per EA recommendation those cracks are non-structural.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: This issue was found corrected from previous follow up inspection. During inspection no cracks were observed at stair and slabs.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory consultant has investigated the crack and stated as non structural.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection. Factory Engineer has investigated the cracks ensuring that the cracks are non structural.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory consultant has prepared an investigation report and as per investigation report all cracks are non structural.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. During inspection factory had provided a crack investigation report where cracks were mentioned as non-structural.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
9	Cracks on Slab & Stair	As part of Engineering Assessment, Factory Engineer to carry out design check on the affected areas	within 6-weeks	Done	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Cracks on slab &amp; stair have been investigated and declared to be non-structural. Investigation report recommending the remedial measure has been incorporated in the EA report.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been investigated the cracks on slab and stair. As per EA recommendation those cracks are non-structural.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: This issue was found corrected from previous follow up inspection. During inspection no cracks were observed at stair and slabs.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory consultant has investigated the crack and stated as non structural.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory consultant has prepared an investigation report and as per investigation report all cracks are non structural.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. During inspection factory had provided a crack investigation report where cracks were mentioned as non-structural.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
10	Cracks on Slab & Stair	Carry out remedial works resulting from engineering assessment if necessary.	within 6-months	Done	21-Jul-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Cracks on slab &amp; stair have been investigated and declared to be non-structural. Investigation report recommending the remedial measure has been incorporated in the EA report. Factory has repaired the cracks as per recommendation stated in the investigation report of EA report.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been investigated the cracks on slab and stair. As per EA recommendation those cracks are non-structural.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Found corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has plastered the cracked areas and during inspection no new crack was found.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection. Factory Engineer has investigated the cracks ensuring that the cracks are non structural. Moreover, factory has repaired the visible hairline cracks through plastering.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has re-plastered all cracked areas as the cracks are non structural as per investigation report.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. During inspection factory had provided a crack investigation report where cracks were mentioned as non-structural.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
11	Water intrusions in several places	Factory Engineer to inspect water-damaged structure and identify the source of the water ingress.	within 6-weeks	Done	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Factory has investigated the source of water intrusion and repaired them. No sign of water intrusion was found during inspection.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been repaired mentioned issue regarding water intrusion.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Found corrected from previous follow up inspection. (See Item 18)</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired the damp areas.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection. No dampness was observed during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired all damp areas and no dampness was observed during inspection.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory had repaired all damp areas and no dampness was found during inspection.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous follow up inspection. During the inspection, no dampness was found.</p>	Corrected	
12	Water intrusions in several places	Eliminate the source of water ingress.	within 6-weeks	Done	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Factory has investigated the source of water intrusion and repaired them. No sign of water intrusion was found during inspection.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been repaired mentioned issue regarding water intrusion.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Found corrected from previous follow up inspection. (See Item 18)</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired the damp areas.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection. No dampness was observed during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired all damp areas and no dampness was observed during inspection.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous inspection. Factory had repaired all damp areas and no dampness was found during inspection. Water intrusion was not found.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
13	Water intrusions in several places	Implement structural repairs.	within 6-months	Done	21-Jul-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Factory has investigated the source of water intrusion and repaired them. No sign of water intrusion was found during inspection.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been repaired mentioned issue regarding water intrusion.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Found corrected from previous follow up inspection. (See Item 18)</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired the damp areas.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection. No dampness was observed during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired all damp areas and no dampness was observed during inspection.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous inspection. Factory had repaired all damp areas and no dampness was found during inspection.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection. All damp areas had been repaired.</p>	Corrected	
14	Lack of edge protection	Install adequate edge protection on roof level as per suggestion of factory engineer.	within 6-weeks		05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: Factory has provided edge protection on the roof top.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Found corrected in previous inspection. Factory has been installed edge protection on roof by adding brick wall as per suggestion of factory engineer.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Found corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. Edge protection has been provided.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 1st follow up inspection. Edge protection was provided.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous inspection. Edge protection had been provided.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
15	Discrepancy found between as built condition and provided drawing	Building engineer to survey the whole building and produce as-built documentation reflecting the as constructed condition.	within 6-weeks	Done	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: As-built drawings have been prepared as part of EA report and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: Factory has been prepared as built drawing by reflecting the as constructed condition which is submitted to Accord. EA report is under review. During inspection, as built drawing were verified on site and no discrepancies were found.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Factory has prepared a set of as built drawing as a part of EA which is under ACCORD review. The as built drawings re-verified in 2nd follow up inspection and this issue was found corrected.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection. As-built drawing was verified previously.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 4th follow up inspection. As built drawings were prepared as part of DEA which was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018. No further discrepancy was found during inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. As built drawings were prepared as part of DEA which was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. As built drawings were prepared as a part of DEA. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
16	Light weight Roof shed of Canteen appears non engineered	Building engineer to check the capacity of the lightweight steel roofs under lateral and vertical loading following BNBC.	within 6- weeks	Reviewed DEA submitted to ACCORD on 8th May-18 and waiting for approval.	05-Mar-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA report. EA report of the factory has been prepared and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review.</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: This issue is required to be cover in EA. Factory is required to carry out remedial measures as per recommendation resulting from EA report.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: This issue is required to covered in EA. Factory has conducted EA and submitted to ACCORD which is under ACCORD review. Moreover, factory has shifted the canteen at main production building and this shed is being used as ideal machine storage.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: This issue has been covered in DEA and DEA has been accepted on 14th Aug 2018.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 4th follow up inspection. DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Currently, factory is not using the shed and closed all access to that structure.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. This issue had been covered in DEA report. Factory management got DEA acceptance from Accord on 14-August-2018.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
17	Light weight Roof shed of Canteen appears non engineered	Carry out remedial works resulting from engineering assessment if necessary.	within 6- months	Facility hand over the light weight roof shed to the land owner and dismissed the rental agreement of that portion with the land owner.	21-Jul-2017	<p>ON 14/12/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA report. EA report of the factory has been prepared and submitted to ACCORD on 03/12/2017 which is under review. Factory is required to carry out remedial measures as per accepted retrofitting drawing after receiving acceptance from ACCORD (if necessary).</p> <p>ON 04-01-18: An Engineering assessment was carried out considering light weight roof shed of canteen by factory engineer which is submitted to Accord. EA report is under review. Factory is required to carry out remedial measures as per recommendation resulting from EA report.</p> <p>On 26/07/2018: Factory will carry out remedial works( if any requires) as per accepted EA.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: As per accepted DEA this shed was required to be demolished but during inspection it was observed that factory has not demolished the shed yet. Factory is required to demolish the shed.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: DEA of the factory was accepted from ACCORD on 14/08/2018. As per recommendation of accepted DEA, canteen shed was required to be demolished. But the factory has cancelled the contract of the tin shed as it was a rented structure. Currently, factory is not using the shed and closed any access to that structure.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Currently, factory is not using the shed and closed all access to that structure.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
18	New Finding-1 Water intrusions and dampness on wall	Factory Engineer is required to investigate the cause of water intrusion & dampness and carry out remedial works accordingly.	(within 6 – weeks)	43324	17-Sep-2018	<p>On 26/07/2018: During inspection water intrusion was observed at stair zone and dampness was found at several places of the building. Factory Engineer is required to investigate the cause of water intrusion &amp; dampness and carry out remedial works accordingly.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: Factory has repaired the damp areas.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: This issue has been corrected as per 4th follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Corrected from previous inspection. Factory has repaired the damp areas.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: Corrected in previous inspection. Factory had repaired all damp areas and no dampness was found during inspection.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> Corrected in previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Inspection Observation	Action Plan from Inspection (Recommendation)	Timeline from Inspection	Final Action Plan from Factory	Final Timeline (dd-mmm-yyyy)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
19	New Finding-2 Water ponding on roof	Factory is required to improve the drainage system of roof.	(within 6 – weeks)	Facility has a vertical extension of another floor which is under construction ,40% work is complete and total work will be finish within 30.04.2022 after that we will prepare water proof roof top which will be complete within 30.05.2022.	17-Sep-2018	<p>On 26/07/2018: Standing water was observed at roof of main production building. Factory engineer is required to upgrade drainage system of roof such that no water ponding occurs.</p> <p>On 08/11/2018: During inspection dampness was found on roof slab. Factory is required to provide proper drainage system to drain out the water.</p> <p>On 28/05/2019: Factory has not taken any steps regarding this issue. Water ponding was observed on roof top during inspection. Factory is required to provide proper drainage system to drain out the water.</p> <p>On 22th Aug 2019: Factory has not taken any steps regarding this issue. Factory is required to provide proper drainage system to drain out the water.</p> <p>[On 06-Nov-2019]Special Inspections: Not Checked.</p> <p>On 17-Feb-2020: No action had been taken yet. During inspection water ponding was not found. Factory management has an intension of vertical extension. So, after completion of a vertical extension as per accepted drawing factory will install waterproofing layer on roof top.</p> <p><b>On 29-May-2022:</b> The factory has constructed a new storey above the 4th floor as per accepted DEA. During the inspection, finishing work was ongoing. Factory management verbally informs that they will construct water proofing layer after completion of finishing work.</p>	In Progress	